

2002 ANNUAL TOWN MEETING
April 10, 2002

The fourth session of the 2002 Annual Town Meeting was called to order by Moderator Margery M. Battin at 8:00 p.m., April 10, 2002. A quorum of 177 was present.

The Moderator explained precinct clerks would serve as tellers and Sheldon Spector for at-large and committee members.

8:05 p.m. The Moderator declared a recess for Superintendent Joanne Benton and representatives of the School Department to present Diversity Awards to METCO Director Cheryl Prescott Waldron and Estabrook Elementary School teacher Heather Kelliher.

Selectman Jeanne Krieger recognized former Selectman Catherine Abbott for her contributions to the Town.

8:14 p.m. The Moderator called the meeting to order and reviewed procedures and rules of conduct for citizens in the audience.

8:17 p.m. Planning Board Chairman Anthony Galaitis, pr.5, moved Article 20 be taken up. Following a voice vote, the Moderator declared the Motion Adopted and Article 20 before the meeting.

Anthony Galaitis moved the Report of the Planning Board on Article 20 be Accepted and Placed on File. Following a unanimous voice vote, the Moderator declared the Planning Board Report on Article 20 Accepted and Placed on File.

ARTICLE 20: AMEND ZONING BYLAW – HOUSE IMPACT REVIEW

MOTION: That the Zoning Bylaw of the Town be amended as set forth in the motion attached to the report of the Planning Board and filed with the Town Clerk.

MOTION:

(Note: Sections E and F dealing with a change in the definition of height will be split from the main article and considered separately.)

To amend the Zoning By-Law as follows:

A. In Section 2. “Definitions,” by inserting in the list of definitions under the heading FLOOR AREA and after subsection “FLOOR AREA RATIO, NONRESIDENTIAL” the following:

FLOOR AREA RATIO, RESIDENTIAL: The ratio of the sum of the gross floor area of all buildings, accessory buildings and sheds having more than 120 square feet of floor area, and structures on a lot to the total lot area.

B. In Section 2. “Definitions,” by deleting the last sentence in the definition for “GROSS FLOOR AREA,” which presently reads as follows:

Where the text of this By-Law refers to floor area, the term shall mean gross floor area unless the term net floor area is used.

And by inserting:

Where the text of this By-Law refers to floor area, the term shall mean gross floor area, unless the terms “net floor area” or “living area” are used.

The entire definition shall be placed as a subsection of the definition of “Floor Area” after the definition of “FLOOR AREA RATIO, RESIDENTIAL” and shall read as follows:

GROSS FLOOR AREA: The sum, in square feet, of the horizontal areas of all stories of a building or several buildings on the same lot measured from the exterior face of exterior walls, or from the center line of a party wall separating two buildings. Gross floor area shall also include garages, basements, cellars, porches and half stories, but shall exclude crawl spaces, attics, decks, and any accessory building or shed having 120 square feet or less of floor area. Where the text of this By-Law refers to floor area, the term shall mean gross floor area, unless the terms “net floor area” or “living area” are used.

C. By adding a new subsection 3.8 as the last subsection of Section 3. “Administration and Enforcement,” as follows:

3.8. LARGE HOUSE SITE PLAN REVIEW

Large House Site Plan Review shall be required where the gross floor area of a new or replacement single-family dwelling (for the purposes of the provisions of Section 3.8 the term single-family dwelling shall include one-family detached dwelling including those with accessory apartments) plus accessory buildings and sheds having more than 120 square feet of floor area, exceeds the following:

- a. On lots having not more than 5,000 square feet of lot area: review required if such gross floor area exceeds 3,500 square feet.
- b. On lots having in excess of 5,000 but not more than 10,000 square feet lot area: review required if such gross floor area exceeds 3,500 square feet plus 0.5 times any lot area in excess of 5,000 square feet.
- c. On lots having in excess of 10,000 but not more than 20,000 square feet lot area: review required if such gross floor area exceeds 6,000 square feet plus 0.2 times any lot area in excess of 10,000 square feet.
- d. On lots having 20,000 square feet or more of lot area: review required if such gross floor area exceeds 8,000 square feet plus 0.05 times any lot area in excess of 20,000 square feet.

Additions of gross floor area to a single-family dwelling shall be exempt from Large House Site Plan Review unless those additions were preceded by the removal or demolition of more than 50% of the exterior walls and roofs, as measured by the collective surface area of those walls and roofs.

In such instance, the residence shall be subject to the Large House Site Plan Review provisions, provided that new construction then places the gross floor area for that property above the threshold for review.

A structure existing on the effective date of this amendment which is destroyed or damaged by explosion, collapse, fire, storm, natural disaster or other catastrophic event, any of which is beyond the control of the owner, shall be exempt from the Large House Site Plan Review if it is reconstructed within three years and there is no increase in the site coverage or gross floor area.

3.8.1 APPROVAL STANDARDS AND CRITERIA.

The Planning Board shall approve or approve with conditions by a majority of the quorum present a Large House Site Plan, as long as that the application for review is complete and the application meets the mitigation objectives set forth below. The visual compatibility with the surrounding area is promoted and negative impacts substantially mitigated through such efforts as the following.

- a. Use of building design and massing, location on the lot, including the use of setbacks greater than the minimum required, and building orientation being configured to avoid or mitigate any differences in architectural scale from that prevailing in the vicinity.
- b. Retention of existing mature trees and other vegetation and addition of new landscaping features to visually soften the impact of house construction.
- c. Treatment of the areas within the front yard setback, unless inappropriate for other reasons, being consistent with that prevailing in the vicinity, such as whether such areas are retained in a natural state, or have had understory vegetation cleared and replaced with dense plantings, or have extensive mown lawns, or street edge-defining elements such as fences, walls or hedges that are prevalent in other residences along the street.
- d. Avoidance of prominent on-lot automobile impact through location and orientation of garage entrances, curved driveway alignment and narrow width of driveways as they approach the street, and other means.
- e. Site design being configured to avoid large changes in existing grades and earth removal, and avoidance of use of planting species not either indigenous to or common within the vicinity.
- f. Consideration being given to abutting properties, protecting their privacy, access to sun and light, and the integrity of existing vegetation that abuts or overhangs property boundaries.

3.8.2 PROCEDURE FOR REVIEW

Procedures for Large House Site Plan Review shall be as follows.

- a. Large House Site Plan Review shall require a Public Information Meeting in accordance with subsection 2.6.7.1 and 2.6.7.3 of the Development Regulations. Owners of all lots abutting the premises or separated from it only by a street shall be notified by mail or hand delivery at least seven days in advance of said meeting. The Public Information Meeting may be conducted within the agenda of any duly noticed Planning Board meeting.
- b. The Public Information Meeting shall be conducted within 45 days of the date of filing of a complete Large House Site Plan Review application as determined by the Planning Director or designee.

Adjourned Session of 2002 Annual Town Meeting – April 10, 2002

- c. An approval or approval with conditions shall be rendered within 30 days of the date of the Information Meeting unless:
 - 1) additional material is deemed necessary to complete Planning Board review, in which case it shall be rendered within 30 days after the Board (or the Planning Director or such other agent as the Board may designate) determines submittals to be sufficient with which to take such action, or
 - 2) within such later time period agreed to by the applicant and the Board at, or in written form prior to, the Public Information Meeting.

3.8.3 INFORMATION REQUIRED

A person applying for Large House Site Plan Review shall file one copy of an application and plans with the Town Clerk and seven copies of each with the Planning Board. Such application and plans shall include information on conditions, standards and criteria sufficient for the Planning Board to make the finding and determination required by subparagraph 3.8.1.

Submittals shall include a Landscape Plan, as described in subsection 10.2.3 and subsection 2.4.1.g of the Planning Board’s Development Regulations, prepared by a Massachusetts registered landscape architect.

Supplementary Materials: If deemed pertinent by the Planning Director or other agent designated by the Board, on or more of the following readily available materials may required:

- a. A plan of other lots and house footprints on properties abutting the large house site, as well as any additional properties significantly impacted by the proposed house, from existing sources such as Town of Lexington topographic series, Assessors’ Maps, fire insurance maps and other secondary sources.
- b. Existing determination of applicability issued by, or notice of intent filed with, the conservation commission, if applicable;
- c. Architectural elevation drawings or sketches and/or section drawings from standard builders’ plans to clarify issues of bulk and massing.

3.8.4 EFFECTIVE DATE

Section 3.8 shall be effective upon the vote of Town Meeting or June 1, 2002, whichever is later.

D. In Section 4.2, Table 1 of the Zoning By-Law by inserting the footnote reference “(1)” in line 11.1 under the columns headed RO/RS, RT, RM, RD, CN as follows: *{identified by bold, italic text }*

Line	RO RS	RT	RM	RD *	CN	CRS	CS	CB CL O	CRO	CM
*For uses permitted in RD districts, see subparagraphs 4.1.1 and 8.3.3										
1.	RESIDENTIAL USES									
1.1	PERMITTED RESIDENTIAL USES (Must also comply with operating and development standards)									
1.11	Y <i>(I)</i>	Y <i>(I)</i>	Y <i>(I)</i>	SP <i>(I)</i>	Y <i>(I)</i>	N	N	N	N	N

and by adding a footnote (1) at the end of Table 1 as follows:

(1) Shall be subject to subsection 3.8 and related provisions pertaining to house impact.

E. In subsection 7.5 HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES by inserting at the end of the first paragraph a sentence as follows:

For purposes of determining the number of stories in a building or structure, measurement at the lower elevation shall be from the average natural grade, as described in subsection a. below

So that 7.5 reads as follows:

The maximum height of a building shall not exceed either the distance in feet or the number of stories, whichever is less, set forth in Table 2 for the district in which the building is located. The maximum height of a building in feet shall be the vertical distance between the lower elevation and the upper elevation, as described below. For purposes of determining the number of stories in a building or structure, measurement at the lower elevation shall be from the average natural grade, as described in subsection a. below.

F. In subsection 7.5 HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES by deleting subparagraph

7.5.1.b: in its entirety, which presently reads as follows:

- b. The upper elevation shall be:
 - 1) in the case of a flat roof, the top of the highest roof beams; or
 - 2) in the case of a pitched, gable, hip gambrel, or mansard roof, the plane that passes through the ceiling of the top story.Where the top story is not finished with a horizontal ceiling, the elevation seven feet above the floor of the top story shall be considered equivalent to the ceiling.

And by inserting in place thereof the following:

- b. The upper elevation shall be the highest point of any ridge, gable, other roof surface, or parapet.

G. In Table 2, Schedule of Dimensional Controls, by :

- 1) inserting the words “non-residential uses” after “Maximum Floor Area Ratio” within the existing cell;
- 2) inserting a row after “Maximum Floor Area Ratio” entitled “Gross Floor Area Ratio, Threshold for Site Plan Review under 3.8 and related house impact provisions”; and,
- 3) inserting a footnote (1), as follows: *{identified by bold, italic text, }*

TABLE 2. SCHEDULE OF DIMENSIONAL CONTROLS

Districts	RO	RS & RT	RM & RD (a)	CN	CRS	CS	CB	CLO	CRO	CM
Uses permitted in RS & RT Districts shall Conform to Provisions of Subparagraph 7.1.2										
Maximum Floor Area Ratio (F.A.R.) for non-residential uses	NR (i)	NR (i)	NR (i)	0.20	0.20	0.20	2.0	0.25	0.15	0.15
Gross Floor Area Ratio, Threshold for Site Plan Review under 3.8 and related house impact provisions	See note (l)	See note (l)	See note (l)	See note (l)	See note (l)	See note (l)	See note (l)	See note (l)	See note (l)	See note (l)
Maximum % Site Coverage	15% (g)	15% (g)	25%	20%	25%	25%	NR	20%	25%	25%
Public & Institutional Buildings										
Maximum Height:	2.5	2.5	2.5	3	3	3	2	3	3	3
In stories:	40	40	40	45	45	45	30	45	45	45
In feet:										
Other Buildings, Maximum Height:	2.5	2.5	NR	1	2	2	2	2	3	3
In stories:	40	40	40	15	25	25	25	20	45	45
In feet:										

FOOTNOTES TO TABLE 2, SCHEDULE OF DIMENSIONAL CONTROLS

As used in the Schedule of Dimensional Controls, symbol “NR” means no requirements, “s.f.” means square feet, and “ft.” means linear feet...

1. See subsection 3.8 and related provisions pertaining to house impact In addition, existing, non-conforming dwellings in non-residential districts to which additions are proposed, shall be subject to the same provisions, should the resultant floor area exceed site plan review thresholds.



8:19 p.m. The Moderator explained parts “E” and “F”, regarding building height, will be discussed separately.

8:20 p.m. Planning Director Glenn Garber presented the details on the proposed bylaw.

8:36 p.m. Selectman Jeanne Krieger reported a majority of the Board of Selectmen is opposed to the bylaw. Selectman Dawn McKenna reported the opposition report and Selectman Jeanne Krieger presented the minority report of Selectmen Krieger and Enrich in favor of the article. Selectman Krieger then read a letter from the Historical Commission supporting the motion.

8:42 p.m. Joyce Miller, pr.7, reported the Conservation Commission has taken no official position on this article as it is outside the scope of the Commission.

8:43 p.m. David Kessler, pr.3, spoke on the acrimony he believes this article has caused.

8:45 p.m. The Moderator explained the Article will be divided and parts “E” and “F” will be voted separately.

Adjourned Session of 2002 Annual Town Meeting – April 10, 2002

- 8:46 p.m. Sheldon Spector, pr.6, sought clarification on changes made to the Article.
8:48 p.m. Anthony Galaisis, pr.5, responded to clarify the changes.
8:50 p.m. Lorraine Fournier, pr.8, questioned a few aspects of proposed bylaws.
8:52 p.m. Robert Rotberg, pr.3, spoke in support; Timothy Counihan, pr.4, spoke in opposition; and Patrick Mehr, pr.3, spoke in support.

9:05 p.m. Patrick Mehr, pr.3, moved the following:

AMENDMENT: At the end of B. of the main motion, add:

“And by inserting the following 4 new definitions:

-Bulk of a structure: total volume of the space (in cubic feet) above natural grade inside the outermost exterior walls and roofs of a structure.

The Bulk of a proposed structure shall be determined by calculations prepared by an architect or engineer registered in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and submitted with supporting details.

The Bulk of an existing structure shall be determined by one or both of: (i) measurements conducted by a land surveyor registered in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and/or (ii) calculations wherein the Gross Floor Area of each sub-area of the structure that is not completely underground (in square feet, available in the Assessor’s database) is multiplied by the Height factor for that sub-area (in feet), and all such multiplications are added together.

-Construction Intensity of a lot: the ratio of the Bulk of the structure on the lot divided by the area (in square feet) of the lot on which the structure is located (if several structures are located on the same lot, the Bulks of all such individual structures are added together prior to being divided by the area of the lot).

-Height factor: for sub-areas of a structure that are completely above ground, and delineated by vertical walls, 8 feet; for sub-areas that are completely above ground, and delineated by at least one non-vertical wall, or that are directly under a sloped roof, 4 feet; for sub-areas that are partially underground, 7 feet multiplied by the estimated proportion (expressed as a number between 0.0 and 1.0) of floor-to-ceiling height above the average level of the adjoining ground.

-Neighboring Construction Intensity of a lot: the arithmetic average of the Construction Intensities of all lots on which at least one structure exists (except that lot itself) and for which any part lies within 500 feet of any part of the lot for which this calculation is performed.”

In C. of the main motion, insert the following sentence after 3.8.1. a.:

“Appropriate mitigation under this section 3.8.1.a. shall be deemed accomplished if the Construction Intensity of the lot undergoing Large House Site Plan Review is not more than 2.5 (two and one half) times its Neighboring Construction Intensity.”

9:08 p.m. Planning Board Chairman Anthony Galaisis reported the Planning Board unanimously opposed the amendment.

9:11 p.m. David Kanter, pr.7, spoke against the amendment and recommended further discussion and review.

9:12 p.m. Selectman Jeanne Krieger reported the Board of Selectmen’s unanimous opposition to the amendment.

9:13 p.m. David Burns, pr.5, Called the Question. Following a voice vote, the Moderator declared the motion adopted and a vote on the amendment before the meeting, with 3 minutes remaining to the maker of the amendment.

9:14 p.m. Patrick Mehr, pr.3, summarized the purpose of the amendment.

9:15 p.m. Following a voice vote, the Moderator declared the **Amendment Not Adopted.**

9:15 p.m. Fred Rosenberg, pr.9, moved to close debate on Article 20 to 90 minutes, including any possible amendments. Following a voice vote, the Moderator declared Mr. Rosenberg’s motion Not Adopted.

9:16 p.m. Neal Boyle, pr.7, spoke against the main motion.

9:20 p.m. Paul O’Brien, 33 Haskell Street, a citizen speaking from the audience, spoke against the main motion and its anticipated diminishment on property value.

9:25 p.m. Georgia Glick, pr.4, spoke in favor.

9:27 p.m. David Kanter, pr.7, spoke in favor.

Adjourned Session of 2002 Annual Town Meeting – April 10, 2002

- 9:29 p.m. James Barry, pr.1, spoke in opposition.
 9:31 p.m. With 56 members standing in favor of a roll-call vote, the Moderator declared a call of the roll would be taken at the time of voting.
- 9:34 p.m. Dan Fenn, pr.3, spoke in support.
 9:36 p.m. Dana Wendel, pr.6, questioned the Planning Board on anticipated impact, and possible recourse, of the bylaw on new construction. Planning Director Glenn Garber and Attorney Ryan Pace, Palmer & Dodge, responded.
- 9:40 p.m. Sam Silverman, pr.5, questioned conditions and possible recourse, and Glenn Garber responded.
 9:44 p.m. Jane Pagett, pr.6, spoke in opposition.
 9:46 p.m. Ingrid Klimoff, pr.8, spoke in favor.
 9:47 p.m. Maria Kistorizos, pr.1, spoke in opposition.
 9:50 p.m. William Frawley, pr.3, spoke in favor.
 9:52 p.m. John Cook, a resident of 4 Cutler Farm Road, spoke in opposition.
 9:55 p.m. Carolyn Tiffany, pr.8, spoke in support.
 9:57 p.m. Stephen Tripi, a resident of 12 Freemont Street, spoke in opposition.
 10:00 p.m. David Burns, pr.5, questioned the legality of the proposed bylaw and Town Counsel William Lahey responded to his concerns.
 10:04 p.m. Albert Zabin, pr.1, questioned the issue and Anthony Galaisis responded.
 10:07 p.m. Daniel Lucas, pr.2, questioned the percentage of current housing stock over the proposed threshold. Anthony Galaisis explained the numbers were not currently available.
- 10:10 p.m. H. Gerald Van Hook, pr.6, spoke in favor.
 10:14 p.m. Loren Wood, pr.3, spoke in opposition.
 10:18 p.m. David Harris, pr.4, questioned the justification for not issuing permits and Attorney Ryan Pace, Palmer & Dodge, responded.
 10:21 p.m. Alan Lazarus, pr.6, spoke in support.
 10:23 p.m. Peter Kelley, pr.4, questioned procedures and fees to be charged to applicants. Planning Director Glenn Garber explained those issues had not yet been addressed by the Planning Board.
- 10:25 p.m. Peter Kelley, pr.4, questioned the right-to-file process and Town Counsel William Lahey responded.
- 10:27 p.m. Richard Neumeier, pr.3, moved the question. Following a voice vote on if the main question should now be put, the Moderator declared the Motion Adopted and the Main Motion now put, with 3 minutes to the maker of the motion.
- 10:28 p.m. Town Clerk Donna M. Hooper CALLED THE ROLL for ARTICLE 20, A-D, G:

ROLL CALL VOTE – Article 20, parts A-D, G

PRECINCT 1	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	PRECINCT 2	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Joel A. Adler		X			James L. Avery		X		
Nancy M. Adler		X			J. Eugene Beauchemin	X			
James E. Barry		X			Judith Boxer	X			
John C. Bartenstein		X			Marian A.O. Cohen	X			
Laura L. Boghosian				X	Robert W. Cunha				X
Mary Bowes		X			Thomas R. Diaz				X
John P. Breen		X			Robin B. DiGiammarino		X		
Daniel P. Busa		X			Karen A. Dooks		X		
Jean W. Cole	X				Kenneth W. Ford		X		
Jonathan G. Cole	X				Paul H. Lapointe	X			
Stephen D. Cole, Jr.		X			Lucy Lockwood	X			
Maria-Elena Kistorizos		X			Daniel A. Lucas		X		
Lillian T. MacArthur		X			Lauren MacNeil		X		
Eric Jay Michelson		X			Andrew McAleer		X		
Janet Moran		X			Barry Orenstein	X			
John F. Rossi		X			Michael J. O'Sullivan		X		
Barry E. Sampson		X			Joseph Walsh		X		
Carol J. Sampson		X			Christina J. Welch	X			
Paul G. Topalian		X			Donald D. Wilson		X		
Albert P. Zabin		X			James S. Wilson		X		
Judith L. Zabin	X				Scott Wilson		X		

Adjourned Session of 2002 Annual Town Meeting – April 10, 2002

PRECINCT 3	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	PRECINCT 4	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Darwin P. Adams	X				Lisa A. Baci	X			
Samuel Berman	X				Nyles Nathan Barnert	X			
Nancy M Cannalunga		X			Gloria J. Bloom	X			
Nicholas A Cannalunga		X			Scott F. Burson	X			
Sara B. Chase	X				Timothy R. Counihan		X		
Jacqueline B Davison	X				John L. Davies	X			
Dan H. Fenn, Jr.	X				Georgia S. Glick	X			
Shirley Rauson Frawley	X				David J. Harris	X			
William J. Frawley	X				Michelle Hutnik				X
Sherry L. Gordon	X				Beverly Kelley		X		
Gloria V. Holland	X				Peter C.J. Kelley		X		
Kevin R. Johnson	X				Charles W. Lamb	X			
Arthur Katz	X				Douglas M. Lucente		X		
David J. Kessler	X				Barrie Peltz	X			
Patrick R. Mehr	X				John S. Rosenberg	X			
Alexander E. Nedzel	X				Nicholas Santosuosso				X
Mary E. Neumeier				X	Sandra J. Shaw	X			
Richard L. Neumeier	X				Marina C. Smith	X			
Daniel A. Pion		X			Ruth S. Thomas	X			
Robert Rotberg	X				Judith J. Uhrig	X			
Loren Wood		X			Martin K. White	X			
PRECINCT 5	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	PRECINCT 6	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Paul F. Barnes		X			Florence A. Baturin	X			
David E. Burns		X			Paul Chernick	X			
Ira W. Crowe				X	Norman P. Cohen	X			
Marjorie M. Daggett	X				Peter D. Cohen		X		
Irene M. Dondley	X				Marshall J. Derby		X		
Elaine Dratch	X				Bebe Fallick				X
Andrew J. Friedlich	X				Edmund C. Grant				X
Anthony G. Galaitis	X				Jonathan A. Himmel	X			
Paul E. Hamburger		X			Morton G. Kahan	X			
John Hayward		X			David L. Kaufman			X	
Carol A. Liff	X				Florence Koplow				X
Margaret C. Nichols	X				Alan J. Lazarus	X			
Loretta A. Porter				X	Martin Long				X
Blair B. Ruocco	X				Jane Pagett		X		
James M. Shaw		X			Edith Sandy	X			
Sam Silverman	X				Frank Sandy	X			
Jed N. Snyder		X			Sheldon A. Spector		X		
M. Masha Traber	X				H. Jerrold VanHook	X			
Charles T. Vail		X			Dana Z. Wendel	X			
Ephraim Weiss	X				Robert V. Whitman				X
David G. Williams		X			Thomas M. Zimmer	X			

PRECINCT 7	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	PRECINCT 8	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Marsha E. Baker	X				Richard H. Battin	X			
Neal E. Boyle, Jr.		X			Larry N. Belvin	X			
Deborah J. Brown		X			Roger F. Borghesani		X		
George A. Burnell	X				Margaret Bradley	X			
Steven L. Colman		X			Elizabeth J. Bryant				X
Margaret L Counts-Klebe	X				Florence E. Connor			X	
Robert G. Coyne		X			John T. Cunha		X		
Audrey J. Friend	X				Lorraine M. Fournier		X		
Thomas V. Griffiths	X				Anne E. Frymer	X			
Philip K. Hamilton	X				Diana T. Garcia	X			
David G. Kanter	X				Thomas M. Harden	X			
Karl P. Kastorf	X				Charles Hornig	X			
Fred H. Martin				X	David C. Horton	X			
David G. Miller		X			Steven J. Hurley	X			
Joyce A. Miller			X		Stewart G. Kennedy	X			
Wendy C. Rudner	X				Ingrid H. Klimoff	X			
John E. Taylor		X			Alan Mayer Levine	X			
Jane A. Trudeau	X				Richard A. Michelson		X		
James C. Wood				X	James A. Osten				X
Martha C. Wood				X	Shirley H. Stolz	X			
Alan A. Wrigley		X			Carolyn H. Tiffany	X			

PRECINCT 9	Ye	No	Abstain	Absent	AT-LARGE	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
-------------------	----	----	---------	--------	-----------------	-----	----	---------	--------

Adjourned Session of 2002 Annual Town Meeting – April 10, 2002

	s								
Alice J. Adler	X				Margery M. Battin			X	
Narain D. Bhatia	X				Peter D. Enrich	X			
Christopher P. Busa				X	Donna M. Hooper			X	
Richard L. Canale				X	Jay R. Kaufman				X
Donald A. Chisholm				X	William P. Kennedy		X		
Anthony B. Close				X	Jeanne K. Krieger	X			
Rodney Cole		X			William L. Lahey			X	
Margaret E. Coppe	X				Dawn E. McKenna		X		
Mary E. Donahue		X			Leo P. McSweeney		X		
Emily R. Donovan	X								
Susan A. Elberger			X						
Thomas O. Fenn		X				Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
Janice A. Kennedy		X			TOTALS	97	70	7	24
Hank Manz		X							
Wendy Manz	X								
Janet M. Perry		X							
Fred D. Rosenberg	X								
Richard Schaye	X								
Michael E. Schroeder	X								
Francine Stieglitz				X					
Dorris P. Traficante		X							

- 10:52 p.m. The Moderator declared, with 97 voting in the affirmative and 70 in the negative, and less than the required two-thirds vote, the **MOTION NOT ADOPTED.**
- 10:53 p.m. Anthony Galaitsis, pr. 5, served Notice of Reconsideration on Article 20, parts A-D, G.
- 10:54 p.m. Selectman Jeanne Krieger moved the meeting be adjourned until 8:00 p.m., Monday, April 22, 2002 at the National Heritage Museum. Following a voice vote, the Moderator declared the meeting adjourned.

A true copy.

Attest: _____
 Donna M. Hooper, Town Clerk